



## Rubric Scoring Sheet

Give a score anywhere **within a range of 0-3 points** in each category.

Name of applicant organization: [Click here to enter text.](#)

	Criteria	Score	Comments
1	<b>Alignment with Healthy for Life's program goals:</b> 3 pts – aligns well 2 pts - somewhat related 0 pts - not at all consistent		
2	<b>Team Qualifications:</b> 3 pts – Primary contact/committee well qualified 2 pts - PC/committee somewhat qualified 0 pts - PC/committee not qualified		
3	<b>Program Impact and Target Audience:</b> 3 pts - high impact in the community 2 pts - some impact in the community 0 pts - no impact in the community		
4	<b>Goals for grant:</b> 3 pts – strong, innovative and well-defined 2 pts – adequately defined with some innovation 0 pts – vague and not innovative		
5	<b>Community Partners and Engagement</b> 3 pts – Identification of several collaborative partners and the value each will add to the program 2 pts - Some indication of collaborative partners and their respective value 0 pts – No or limited inclusion of collaboration partners in proposal		
6	<b>Capacity:</b> 3 pts – Strong capacity to manage grant 2 pts – Some capacity to manage grant 0 pts – unclear		
7	<b>Budget and Costs:</b> 3 pts - realistic & reasonable budget 2 pts - poorly detailed or unrealistic 0 pts - missing budget or justification		
	<b>21 points max allowed - Total points awarded</b>		

**Name of applicant organization:** [Click here to enter text.](#)

**Total points awarded:** [Click here to enter text.](#)

**Reviewer Summary:**

Why should we fund this organization? List the strengths in order of importance. [Click here to enter text.](#)

What, if any, are the organization's weaknesses? List reasons why organization shouldn't be funded or why you recommend reduced funding. [Click here to enter text.](#)

**Funding:**

Recommend for full funding

Do not recommend for funding

**Reviewer's initials:** [Click here to enter text.](#)